



INNOVAZIONE TECNOLOGICA E RISCHI SISTEMICI: L'ATTUARIO VALUTATORE GLOBALE DELL'INCERTEZZA

ROMA 10-12 Novembre 2021

Assessing the financial impact of climate risk and implication for ORSA

Domenico Brambati, Moody's Analytics





data into SAA and investment selection

INNOVAZIONE **TECNOLOGICA** E RISCHI SISTEMICI: L'ATTUARIO VALUTATORE GLOBALE DELL'INCERTEZZA

ROMA 10-12 Novembre 2021

Climate Change: A Complex Risk

UAR

INNOVAZIONE **TECNOLOGICA** E RISCHI SISTEMICI: L'ATTUARIO VALUTATORE GLOBALE DELL'INCERTEZZA

ROMA 10-12 Novembre 2021



companies.

Credit: kwest/Shutterstock.co

- There are two common types of risks: **》**
 - Transition risks—permanent shifts driven by changes in policy and regulation, development in technology, consumer preferences
 - **Physical risks:**
 - Acute physical risks—shocks due to an increased number of extreme weather events e.g. wildfires
 - **Chronic physical risks**—long-term systemic (not diversifiable) shifts e.g. temperature
- » Underlying these two types of risks and the balance between them is scientific and socioeconomic uncertainty:
 - Future development of emissions driven by factors such as population and income growth
 - Degree of warming and climate disruption remains uncertain

How can insurers address these risks?

Modelling Physical and Transition Risk Scenarios





Positioning of scenarios is approximate, based on an assessment of physical and transition risks out to 2100.

Network for Greening the Financial System (NGFS): a group of 66 central banks and supervisors and 13 observers committed to sharing best practices, contributing to the development of climate and environment-related risk management in the financial sector and mobilising mainstream finance to support the transition towards a sustainable economy.

- Disorderly: response is disruptive but sufficient to meet climate goals
- Orderly: we start reducing emissions now in a measured way to meet climate goals
- Hot house world: we do little to avert the physical risk
- **Too little too late**: we don't do enough to meet climate goals



Source: IIASA NGFS Climate Scenarios Database, REMIND model. End of century warming outcomes shown. Source: IIASA NGFS Climate Scenarios Database, REMIND model. Carbon prices are weighted global averages. End of century warming outcomes shown.

2050



Understand the Socio-Economic uncertainty





Focus on the climate's impact on consumption:

Consumption = GDP - Policy costs - Physical damages - Other investment

Then obtain real returns, following Nordhaus, via the Ramsey rule:

real return = pure time preference + relative risk aversion × change in per capita consumption growth

Sector Costs

Emissions and abatement costs by sector, Europe Net Zero 2050



UAR

ROMA 10-12 Novembre 2021







Climate Calibration Process



INNOVAZIONE TECNOLOGICA E RISCHI SISTEMICI: L'ATTUARIO VALUTATORE GLOBALE DELL'INCERTEZZA

ROMA 10-12 Novembre 2021



Select Climate Scenarios

NGFS/IPCC aligned climate scenarios for 1.5°C, 2°C or hot house scenarios with early or late policy action



Translate Macroeconomic Impact

Policy costs, via carbon taxes, and physical damages drive changes in GDP and consumption growth



Calculate Financial Returns

Convert macroeconomic impact into key financial variables



Set Calibration Targets

Decompose real returns to set expected paths for short rate, long rate, credit spreads and asset risk premia



Generate Scenario Sets

Calibrate and run a Scenario Generator to produce deterministic or stochastic scenario sets

Impact on Solvency Position



- Climate Scenarios could materially impact companies' solvency position
- The impact isn't necessarily adverse, it can be beneficial

Source: Moody's Analytics

- Theoretical Italian company holding one single product (with minimum guarantee)
- Scenarios calibrated on current Italian market conditions + projection assumptions
- NZ = Net Zero Emission reached by 2050, no impact on inflation
- NZ Infl = NZ + Inflation Modelling